1	STEPHEN P. BERZON (#46540) sberzon@altshulerberzon.com LINDA LYE (#215584)	
2		
3	llye@altshulerberzon.com CLAIRE P. PRESTEL (#235649)	
4	cprestel@altshulerberzon.com Altshuler Berzon LLP	
	177 Post Street, Suite 300	
5	San Francisco, CA 94108 Telephone: (415) 421-7151	
6	Facsimile: (415) 362-8064	
7	ELIZABETH GINSBURG (Admitted to Practice <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
8	elizabeth.ginsburg@alpa.org	
9	Air Line Pilots Association, International 535 Herndon Parkway	
10	Herndon, VA 20172-1169 Telephone: (703) 481-2424	
11	Facsimile: (703) 481-2478	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	SKYWEST PILOTS ALPA ORGANIZING) CASE No. C-07-2688 CRB
15	COMMITTEE, et al.,) PLAINTIFFS' REPLY TO
16	Plaintiffs,	DEFENDANT'S INITIAL OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS'
17	VS.	APPLICATION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: CONTEMPT
18	SKYWEST AIRLINES, INC.,)
19	Defendant.	Date: June 7, 2007 Time: 9:30 a.m.
20) Place: Courtroom 8, 19th Floor
21	The compelling urgency regarding Plaintiff	s' Application For an Order to Show Cause
22	Regarding Contempt is Defendant's calculated defiance of this Court's Amended Temporary	
23	Restraining Order.	
24	Defendant contends that Plaintiffs failed to put it on notice that Plaintiffs would move for	
25	contempt. That is incorrect. As set forth in Plaintiffs' moving papers, Plaintiffs had repeated	
26	correspondence with Defendant regarding its failure to comply with the Amended TRO with respect	
27	to providing members of Plaintiff SkyWest Pilots ALPA Organizing Committee access to the	
28	training center – the subject of Plaintiffs' application for an OSC on contempt. In this	
	PLAINTIFFS' REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S INITIAL OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' APPLICATION	

FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: CONTEMPT, CASE No. C-07-2688 CRB

correspondence, Plaintiffs specifically stated that they would seek contempt if Defendant continued to defy the Amended TRO on this issue. Attached to the Supplemental Declaration of Linda Lye, Exhibit I, filed herewith, is a letter sent from Plaintiffs' counsel to Defendant's counsel yesterday, May 31, 2007, inadvertently omitted from Plaintiffs' moving papers, that expressly placed Defendant on notice that Plaintiffs would move for contempt if Defendant did not permit Captains Steve Dow and Phil Alford to make a presentation to the newly hired pilot training session on June 1, 2007.

Defendant accuses Plaintiffs of bombarding it with a "constant barrage of letters." The letters Plaintiffs were required to send were prompted by Defendant's willful refusal to comply with the Amended TRO in several important respects. Plaintiffs were also required to send letters when Defendant refused to provide a witness list, or release pilots for witness preparation prior to the preliminary injunction hearing as the Court provided.

Moreover, Defendant has just filed a new oversized opposition brief to Plaintiffs' preliminary injunction motion. Defendant had filed earlier this week (on May 29, 2007) an oversized opposition brief with many supporting declarations. As we informed Defendant's counsel, See Lye Supp. Decl., Exh. J, the Court issued an amended order to make clear that no additional opposition to Plaintiffs' preliminary injunction motion may be filed, and, accordingly, the Court shortened the time for Plaintiffs' to file their reply brief, which Plaintiffs are currently preparing. *See id.*, Exh. K (Court's Amended Scheduling Order of May 29, 2007, Doc No. 66, deleting opportunity for Defendant to file opposition by June 1, 2007, stating that Defendant's opposition had already been filed, and shortening time for Plaintiffs' reply from Friday, June 8 to June 6, 2007).